



Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions



Federico Bernardini

INAF – Observatory of Rome (Italy) INAF – Observatory of Naples (Italy) NYU – Abu Dhabi (UAE)

An introduction to compact objects from an observational point of view

Metsähovi Radio Observatory – 14 August 2018

Federico's main research interests

Compact Objects (Black Hole, Neutron Star, White Dwarf):

1. Low Mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) Accreting black hole/neutron star in binaries

2. Cataclysmic Variable Stars (CVs) Accreting white dwarf in binaries

3. Ultra Luminous X-ray Sources (ULXs) Accreting black hole/neutron star in binaries

4. Magnetars Isolated Neutron Stars, X-ray pulsars

Transient Low Mass X-ray Binaries

Main Collaborators: Russell, Stella, Koljonen, +many

LMXBs

NS or BH primary, accreting from a low mass companion (M<M_ $\odot)$

Transient LMXBs

Outburst

Lasting weeks to years $L_X \approx 0.1 \ L_{Edd}$

Quiescence

Lasting up to decades $L_X \approx 10^{\text{-8}} \text{-} 10^{\text{-4}} \, L_{\text{Edd}}$



Disk Instability Model



Open questions

Several open questions, some of them are:

- What is the quiescent accretion disk structure?
- How close the disk is extending to the BH in quiescence?
- How exactly LMXBs go from quiescence to outburst?
- \rightarrow How can we constrain/test the disk instability model in LMXB?

Long-term simultaneous optical and X-ray monitoring of transients

The Faulkes Telescope Project (part of the LCOGT): Ongoing optical monitoring of 40 LMXBs (Lewis et al. 2008)

Combined with all sky monitoring X-ray data (BAT, MAXI) and pointed observations

Our Results on V404 Cyg

V404 Cyg is a transient LMXB with a 9 M_{\odot} black hole Multiple outburst, well known distance, low absorption: Perfect Target



Disk Instability Model



A delay of 7 days according to the DIM corresponds to: $t_{vis} = \Delta t_{v-x} = 7 \text{ days} \rightarrow R_v = 300 - 800 \text{ R}_s \rightarrow \text{R}_{in} < 800 \text{ R}_s$ Without truncation the maximum delay is 1 day only.

This calculation require assumptions (R_X , Temp) But we also get a direct measure from H α

Optical Spectrum



Luckily V404 Cyg was observed 13 hours before its outburst

 $R_{in} = 0.5(c \sin(i)/v_{in})^2$, i = 67 degrees

 $v_{in} \le 1500 \text{ km/s} \rightarrow R_{in} \ge 17000 \text{ Rs}$ Quiescece: Pre-outburst: $v_{in} \ge 2468 \text{ km/s} \rightarrow R_{in} \le 6200 \text{ Rs}$ (factor of 3 lower than quiescence) 28/09/18 9

Summary

- Simultaneous optical and X-ray monitoring is a powerful tool
- We observed the exact transition from quiescence to outburst
- We measure a 7 days X-ray delay
- Data can be interpreted in the framework of the disk instability model (truncated disk)
- We can put constrain on the disk instability model (Temp, R_{in})
- We measured R_{in} shrinking between quiescence and immediately before outburst
- Future: Pipeline to automatically update our monitoring on transients

A Census of Hard X-ray Magnetic Cataclysmic Variables

Main Collaborators: De Martino, Mukai, Falanga

Hard X-ray catalogs

- 20% of galactic sources are Cataclysmic Variables
- Accreting white dwarf from low mass companion
- 25% of all CVs are magnetic systems

BAT IBIS/ISGRI+BAT



Magnetic CVs

 If the magnetic field is strong enough to regulate the accretion flow the system is defined Magnetic



Polars $B=10^7-10^8 G$

Intermediate Polars (IPs) B≤10⁶ G (?)

© Mark A. Garlick / space-art.co.uk / strictly no unauthorised use

Polars and IPs

 Accretion: intense X-ray and Optical emission Periodic emission at spin and orbital period





Polars $P_{spin} = P_{orb}$ [hrs]

Intermediate Polars (IPs) P_{spin} [min] < P_{orb} [hrs]

Importance of AWDs

 Close-by, numerous (~1300 CVs), variable Universality of accretion at all scales AWD perfect laboratory



Why do we study magnetic CVs?

• Galactic "diffuse" X-ray emission: hard sources Majority should be mCVs at 10^{30} <L_X< 10^{34} erg/s What are those with L_X ~ 10^{29-30} erg/s ?



Revnivtsev 2006,2009, Hong 2012, Hailey 2016 + more

Chandra/ACIS

Why do we study magnetic CVs?

We want understand their evolution
 What is the link between Polars and IPs?



Open questions

- Galactic "diffuse" emission (buldge, disc, center) What are the source producing it exactly? Is there a still uncovered population of low-L CVs?
- Binary evolution
 Do long-period IPs evolve into Polars if similar B?
- Magnetic CVs Why are they hard X-ray emitters? What is the true population of mCVs?
- \rightarrow Volume (or at least flux) limited sample of mCV

XMM-Newton program

- Opt. follow-ups provide suitable candidates
- Unambiguous identification resides in the X-rays



Multi-T optically thin 6.4 keV Fe-line

Bernardini et al. 2012--2017

X-ray power spectra of IPs

IGR J1650-3307

IGR J1817-2509





Some specific result

First detection of reflection in mCV



How many CVs are out there?
 23 IPs + 2 Polar + 3 NL + 1 LMXB (transitional)



Bernardini et al. 2012 to 2018 - Credit de Martino

• What did we learn about IPs?



Why IPs have harder X-ray spectra?
 -> Other parameters than M_{WD} play a role



- Is there a still uncovered population of low-L IPs?
- What is the CV and mCV space density?



Conclusions and Perspectives

- XMM program is an ongoing project
- Hard X-ray CVs are dominated by mCV of IP-type
- They increased by 50% thanks to hard surveys
- Hard magnetic CVs have:
 M_{WD} consistent with other CVs
 Maybe harder because moderate B & high dm/dt
- Near Future: census of hard X-ray CVs XMM-Program + Extras -> flux limited sample Unveil the true population of faint sources Widen our knowledge of CVs and binaries

Evidence for Magnetospheric Twist in Magnetar Outbursts



Pintore, Bernardini et al. 2016

28/09/18

28

Ultraluminous X-ray sources

Accreting Black Hole/Neutron Star with High Mass companions in other galaxies



Future:

- XMM-Newton Large Program: How many Neutron Stars?
- Unveil the nature of the companion star

Main Collaborators: Israel, Rodriguez, Koljonen, +many







Thanks! Grazie! Kiitos!